

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

17 June 1987

Iran-Iraq: Lull in the Gulf Anti-Shipping War

25X1

Summary

Both Iran and Iraq see short-term advantages to the current lull in attacks on ships in the Persian Gulf. The last attack by Iran took place on 24 May and Iraq's most recent strike was on 18 May. Iraq is pleased by the recent increase in international efforts to press Iran to end the war and by heightened tension between Tehran and the superpowers. In short, the Iraqis have little to gain for now by renewing the anti-shipping war in the Gulf. Tehran hopes to strengthen its longstanding claim that the key to peace is ending Iraqi attacks. Iraq started the anti-shipping war and Iran claims it has struck ships largely in retaliation. Iran also hopes that its restraint will help to undermine UN actions directed at ending the Iran-Iraq war.

25X1

Despite the current hiatus, mounting pressures on Iran and Iraq are likely to lead to renewed attacks on Gulf ships. Iraq probably will resume attacks if it perceives that the

This memorandum was prepared by [redacted] Iran/Iraq Branch, Persian Gulf Division, Office of Near Eastern and South Asian Analysis. Comments and queries are welcome and may be directed to the Chief, Persian Gulf Division, NESAC [redacted]

25X1

NESA M 87-20063

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

superpowers are reducing efforts to end the war or are losing interest in the Gulf. When the US implements its protective measures for Kuwaiti shipping, Iran is likely to renew strikes against non-escorted shipping, lay mines near Kuwait, and possibly look for opportunities to strike escorted US-flag vessels. The chances also will increase that Iran will conduct terrorism against US interests in the Gulf.

25X1

25X1

* * * * *

Iran and Iraq have halted attacks on Gulf shipping after hitting a total of 52 ships so far this year. The last Iraqi airstrike was on 18 May, a day after the attack on the USS Stark. Iran's most recent ship attack occurred on 24 May, when it hit a Panamanian oil tanker.

25X1

The View From Baghdad

We believe Baghdad presently sees little to be gained by initiating a new round of airstrikes against shipping. From Iraq's perspective, the Stark incident and Iran's attack on a Soviet ship on 6 May have proved to be blessings by leading to increased US and Soviet efforts to end the war and by creating new strains between Iran and the superpowers. The Iraqis are relieved and pleased that US--and world--attention has shifted from Iraq's attack on the USS Stark to the Iranian threat in the Gulf. Baghdad probably hopes that Tehran will carry out its threats against the superpower ships protecting reflagged Kuwaiti oil tankers. Iraqi officials almost certainly calculate that renewed attacks by Iraq against Iranian ships would anger the superpowers, help the Iranians justify retaliation against US and Soviet flagged tankers, and possibly jeopardize efforts to obtain an effective arms embargo against Iran and a UN Security Council resolution with sanctions against the side refusing to end the war.

25X1

Iranian Calculations

Iran almost certainly hopes to use the lull in the ship attacks to achieve a permanent cease-fire in Gulf waters--a longstanding policy objective--and be free to continue the ground war where it has the upperhand. We believe that Iran expects the hiatus to remind the international community that Iraq started the anti-shipping war and to substantiate Iran's claim that Tehran attacks ships only in retaliation for Iraqi strikes. The lull, therefore, strengthens Iran's argument that the key to peace in the Gulf is a permanent Iraqi halt to ship attacks, in which case superpower protection of Kuwaiti shipping becomes unnecessary. Tehran probably also hopes to weaken superpower efforts to achieve a UN Security Council resolution calling for sanctions.

25X1

25X1

25X1

[REDACTED]

Pressures for Renewed Attacks

Baghdad is concerned that Iran will benefit if the superpowers shift attention away from the Iranian threat before a comprehensive agreement on the ground war, or at least a UN Security Council resolution with teeth, is achieved. The Iraqis realize that a de facto cease-fire against Gulf shipping reduces the pressures on Iran. Even though Baghdad knows that its attacks in the Gulf have had negligible effects on Iranian oil revenues and morale, it values them because they provoke Iranian retaliation against international shipping, demonstrate to Iraqi citizens that Baghdad is prosecuting the war, and may at some point help influence Iranian policymakers to negotiate an end to the conflict. [REDACTED]

25X1

Because Baghdad appears to view attacks on tankers as one of its few options to press Iran to end the war, it is likely to resume attacks against shipping to and from Iran or against Iranian land oil facilities, including those on Khark Island, if it perceives that progress toward a UN Security Council resolution and other pressures on Iran are waning. The Iraqis will be fearful of accidentally attacking superpower combatants and noncombatants, however, and will exercise care to avoid another accident. [REDACTED]

Iran probably believes that superpower protection of Kuwaiti ships sets a precedent that, if unchecked, will lead to steadily expanding superpower intervention on the side of Iraq and its Arab allies. This would threaten to foreclose an Iranian victory over Iraq and to neutralize Iran's aim of achieving hegemony in the Persian Gulf. We believe Iran prefers to force the superpowers and Kuwait to back down without having to resort to a military clash. [REDACTED]

25X1

If Iran fails to achieve this objective and the US implements its protective measures, Iran is likely to resume attacks against unescorted Kuwaiti ships and perhaps lay sea mines near Kuwait. The possibility would also increase that Tehran would launch terrorist operations against US interests in the Gulf and elsewhere. We believe that Iran would probe cautiously for opportunities to attack escorted US-flag ships, hoping to increase significantly the Gulf states' concern and intensify debate in the United States about the wisdom of US involvement. Tehran is likely to hope that such a debate would weaken US resolve and lead to a US withdrawal. Tehran probably will forego attacks on Soviet-flag ships as long as it perceives that its strategy of easing tension with Moscow is making progress. [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: Iran-Iraq: Lull in the Gulf Anti-Shipping War
NESA M 87-20063

25X1

Distribution:

- 1 - Robert B. Oakley, NSC
- 1 - Edward P. Djerejian, State
- 1 - Marion V. Creekmore, Jr., State
- 1 - George S. Harris, State
- 1 - John Craig, State
- 1 - Peter Burleigh, State
- 1 - Edward W. Gnehm, Jr., ISA, DOD
- 1 - Cmdr. Ruth Shaughnessy, JCS, DOD
- 1 - Roger Pajak, Treasury
- 1 - [redacted]
- 1 - DIR/DCI/DDCI/Exec Staff
- 1 - DDI
- 1 - NIO/NESA
- 1 - NIO/CT
- 1 - C/PES
- 1 - C/NID
- 1 - C/PDB
- 1 - C/NE/ARN
- 6 - CPAS/IMC/CB
- 1 - D/NESA
- 1 - DD/NESA
- 1 - C/PPS/NESA
- 2 - NESA/PPS
- 1 - C/NESA/SO
- 1 - C/NESA/IA
- 1 - C/NESA/AI
- 8 - NESA/PG

25X1

DI/NESA/PG/I [redacted] (16Jun87)

25X1

25X1